

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,006	07/18/2003	Val Krukonis	07678/116002 4588		
21559	7590 11/23/2005		EXAMINER		
CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET			MAYES, DIONNE WALLS		
BOSTON, M.			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1731		

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-				4			
	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
		,006	KRUKONIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
	Dionne '	Walls Mayes	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on t	the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
• •		TO EVENE - MONTH	(O) OD TUUDTI (OO) DAY(	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THI - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mor earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(	E MAILING DATE OF cions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication.  In statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the atths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on		,				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .							
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the pra		•					
Disposition of Claims	·	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the	ne annlication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	o,a,o wararawii nom	551151351341511.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.	_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Evaminer						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a		b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any o	*	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu				(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objecte		- · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for foreign priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o		•	, , , , ,				
1. Certified copies of the prio	rity documents have be	een received.					
2. Certified copies of the prio	rity documents have be	een received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified cop	es of the priority docur	ments have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the Intern	•	• "					
* See the attached detailed Office a	ction for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(DTO 040)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144</li> </ul>			Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/623,006

**Art Unit: 1731** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 28-29, and 31-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Niven, Jr. et al (US. Pat. No. 4,727,889).

Niven, Jr. et al discloses all that is recited in the claims. Note: Tobacco in container 20 is subjected to subcritical carbon dioxide fluid during expansion, and then said fluid is removed from the container (see col. 5, lines 27-45, and abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Niven, Jr. (US. Pat. No. 4,727,889) in view of Ohno et al (US. Pat. No. 4,211,243).

While Niven, Jr. may not specifically state that the tobacco has a pH of between 4-9, this is not deemed to patentably distinguish the claims form the reference since it is know to operate tobacco expansion under acidic conditions, as evidenced by Ohno et al ( see Table 1)

Art Unit: 1731

5. Claims 11-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niven, Jr. et al (US. Pat. No. 4,727,889) in view of Baehl et al (US. Pat. No. 6,209,546).

While Niven may not state that a constituent is separated from the subcritical fluid, Baehl et al discloses a method for recovering expansion agents in order to recycle and reuse said agents in subsequent processes. If necessary, the expansion agents can be subjected to a separator to remove entrained constituents form said agent (see entire document, especially col. 9, lines 65-66). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have recovered the carbon dioxide used as an expansion agent in Niven, Jr. removed any desired constituent therefrom, and recycled it back to the process in order to conserve process materials.

Regarding claims 15 and 17, the use of citric acid or magnesium silicate would have been an obvious choice for a separating substance since such is used in many arts to filter impurities.

Regarding claims 25-27, it also follows that any desirable component removed from the separator would obviously have been recycled back to be deposited on the tobacco in order to utilize such component without wasting product.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Walls Mayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,006

**Art Unit: 1731** 

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne Walls Mayes Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1731

November 18, 2005